

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/033,297	HALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W. Lu	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W. Lu.

(2) Ms. Mary Ann Brow (Reg. No. 42,363).

Status of Application: After non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2007

Time: 1 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35, 47, 62, 69, 72-75, 77, and 81

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Brow agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)